

Table 13: Comparison of ROUGE-1 F-scores for SCC (the proposed methodology) and its three variations on the same tweet stream for each dataset, for each day

Datasets	Day	SCC	SCC (uniform)	SCC (proportion)	SCC (whole)
NEQuake	25/04/2015	0.4117	0.2598	0.3058	0.3095
	26/04/2015	0.3055	0.3033	0.2758	0.2809
	27/04/2015	0.3853	0.3687	0.3613	0.3416
Hagupit	06/12/2014	0.3223	0.3108	0.3080	0.3176
	07/12/2014	0.4124	0.3172	0.3046	0.3064
	08/12/2014	0.3475	0.2849	0.2608	0.3475
PFlood	07/09/2014	0.4524	0.4173	0.3886	0.4365
	08/09/2014	0.4145	0.3197	0.3529	0.3621

(ii). some of the overlapping information is present in more than one class (e.g., information about airport, flight is present in both 'infrastructure' and 'shelter' class) and independent consideration of the classes fails to capture this phenomenon. Note that SCC can dynamically adjust the proportion of each class as per 'real' content and hence provides superior summaries.

7 CONCLUSION

After interacting with several responders, we realized that summarization of information in the tweets from various perspectives and producing a summary focusing on sub-events is a pressing need in the real world. Accordingly, we have proposed a simple summarization approach, which can generate summaries across various scenarios. Specifically, in this paper, we have considered summaries : (i) of the overall situation, and (ii) of different humanitarian classes. We proposed DEPSUB, a sub-event identification algorithm. A crowdsourced evaluation of DEPSUB showed it to be superior in terms of relevance, usefulness as well as expressiveness. Summaries generated by DEPSUB were rated to be in the top two among five competing algorithms; this observation was confirmed by a quantitative evaluation using ROUGE-1 scores. Our proposed summarization algorithm, SCC - was rated to be superior in terms of diversity, coverage and understandability. Highlighting of sub-events also made the summary more understandable. SCC outperformed baseline algorithms between 6-30%; specifically, we show that the improvement resulted from the inclusion of sub-events. The importance of the different humanitarian classes (infrastructure, missing, shelter etc.) varies over days. SCC nicely captures and adjusts to the changing need. To the best of our knowledge, our work is the first to propose a comprehensive multi-faceted summarization approach; the framework developed can be applied to several important specialized situations (e.g. summarizing missing people information, geography-centric information etc.) - some of which will be our immediate future work.

Acknowledgement: Funding for this project was in part provided by Army Research Lab grant W911NF-09-2-0053.

REFERENCES

[1] Dhekar Abhik and Durga Toshniwal. 2013. Sub-event detection during natural hazards using features of social media data. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on World Wide Web*. ACM, 783–788.

[2] Allison Badgett and Ruihong Huang. 2016. Extracting Subevents via an Effective Two-phase Approach. In *EMNLP*. 906–911.

[3] David M Blei, Andrew Y Ng, and Michael I Jordan. 2003. Latent dirichlet allocation. *Journal of machine Learning research* 3, Jan (2003), 993–1022.

[4] Dongfeng Cai, Yonghua Hu, Xuelei Miao, and Yan Song. 2009. Dependency Grammar Based English Subject-Verb Agreement Evaluation.. In *PACLIC*. Citeseer, 63–71.

[5] Mark A. Cameron, Robert Power, Bella Robinson, and Jie Yin. 2012. Emergency Situation Awareness from Twitter for Crisis Management. In *Proc. WWW*. ACM, 695–698.

[6] Carlos Castillo. 2016. *Big Crisis Data: Social Media in Disasters and Time-Critical Situations* (1st ed.). Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA.

[7] Gunes Erkan and Dragomir R. Radev. 2004. LexRank: Graph-based lexical centrality as salience in text summarization. *Artificial Intelligence Research* 22 (2004), 457–479.

[8] Huiji Gao, Geoffrey Barbier, and Rebecca Goolsby. 2011. Harnessing the Crowdsourcing Power of Social Media for Disaster Relief. *Intelligent Systems, IEEE* 26, 3 (2011), 10–14.

[9] Kevin Gimpel, Nathan Schneider, Brendan O’Connor, Dipanjan Das, Daniel Mills, Jacob Eisenstein, Michael Heilman, Dani Yogatama, Jeffrey Flanigan, and Noah Smith, A. 2011. Part-of-Speech Tagging for Twitter: Annotation, Features, and Experiments. In *Proc. ACL*.

[10] gurobi 2015. Gurobi – The overall fastest and best supported solver available. <http://www.gurobi.com/>.

[11] Muhammad Imran, Carlos Castillo, Fernando Diaz, and Sarah Vieweg. 2015. Processing social media messages in mass emergency: a survey. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 47, 4 (2015), 67.

[12] Muhammad Imran, Carlos Castillo, Ji Lucas, Patrick Meier, and Sarah Vieweg. 2014. Aidr: Artificial intelligence for disaster response. In *Proc. WWW companion*. 159–162.

[13] Chris Kedzie, Kathleen McKeown, and Fernando Diaz. 2015. Predicting Salient Updates for Disaster Summarization. In *Proc. ACL*. Beijing, China, 1608–1617.

[14] Lingpeng Kong, Nathan Schneider, Swabha Swayamdipta, Archana Bhatia, Chris Dyer, and Noah A. Smith. 2014. A Dependency Parser for Tweets. In *Proc. EMNLP*.

[15] Chin-Yew Lin. 2004. ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In *Proc. Workshop on Text Summarization Branches Out (with ACL)*.

[16] Polykarpos Meladianos, Giannis Nikolentzos, François Rousseau, Yannis Stavarakas, and Michalis Vazirgiannis. 2015. Degeneracy-based real-time sub-event detection in twitter stream. In *Proc. AAAI ICWSM*. 248–257.

[17] Minh-Tien Nguyen, Asanobu Kitamoto, and Tri-Thanh Nguyen. 2015. TSUm4act: A Framework for Retrieving and Summarizing Actionable Tweets during a Disaster for Reaction. In *Proc. PAKDD*.

[18] Miles Osborne, Sean Moran, Richard McCreddie, Alexander Von Lunen, Martin Sykora, Elizabeth Cano, Neil Ireson, Craig Macdonald, Iadh Ounis, Yulan He, Tom Jackson, Fabio Ciravegna, and Ann OBrien. 2014. Real-Time Detection, Tracking, and Monitoring of Automatically Discovered Events in Social Media. In *Proc. ACL*.

[19] Patrick Pantel and Dekang Lin. 2002. Discovering word senses from text. In *Proceedings of the eighth ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. ACM, 613–619.

[20] Daniela Pohl, Abdelhamid Bouchachia, and Hermann Hellwagner. 2012. Automatic sub-event detection in emergency management using social media. In *Proc. WWW*. ACM, 683–686.

[21] Koustav Rudra, Siddhartha Banerjee, Niloy Ganguly, Pawan Goyal, Muhammad Imran, and Prasenjit Mitra. 2016. Summarizing Situational Tweets in Crisis Scenario. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media*. ACM, 137–147.

[22] Koustav Rudra, Subham Ghosh, Niloy Ganguly, Pawan Goyal, and Saptarshi Ghosh. 2015. Extracting Situational Information from Microblogs during Disaster Events: a Classification-Summarization Approach. In *Proc. CIKM*.

[23] Takeshi Sakaki, Makoto Okazaki, and Yutaka Matsuo. 2010. Earthquake shakes Twitter users: real-time event detection by social sensors. In *Proc. WWW*. 851–860.

[24] Lidan Shou, Zhenhua Wang, Ke Chen, and Gang Chen. 2013. Sumblr: Continuous Summarization of Evolving Tweet Streams. In *Proc. ACM SIGIR*. 533–542.

[25] summary-matrix 2017. Summary Matrix – Kemeny-Young method. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kemeny-Young_method.

[26] Lynda Tamine, Laure Soulier, Lamjed Ben Jabeur, Frederic Amblard, Chihab Hanachi, Gilles Hubert, and Camille Roth. 2016. Social Media-Based Collaborative Information Access: Analysis of Online Crisis-Related Twitter Conversations. In *ACM 27th Conference on Hypertext & Social Media*.

[27] Istvan Varga, Motoki Sano, Kentaro Torisawa, Chikara Hashimoto, Kiyonori Ohtake, Takao Kawai, Jong-Hoon Oh, and Stijn De Saeger. 2013. Aid is Out There: Looking for Help from Tweets during a Large Scale Disaster. In *Proc. ACL*.

[28] Sudha Verma, Sarah Vieweg, William J. Corvey, Leysia Palen, James H. Martin, Martha Palmer, Aaron Schram, and Kenneth M. Anderson. 2011. Natural Language Processing to the Rescue? Extracting “Situational Awareness” Tweets During Mass Emergency. In *Proc. AAAI ICWSM*.

[29] Sarah Vieweg, Carlos Castillo, and Muhammad Imran. 2014. Integrating social media communications into the rapid assessment of sudden onset disasters. In *Social Informatics*. Springer, 444–461.

[30] Xiaohui Yan, Jiafeng Guo, Yanyan Lan, and Xueqi Cheng. 2013. A bitern topic model for short texts. In *Proc. WWW*. ACM, 1445–1456.